

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/840,722	MACLEOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank W. Lu	1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After the second quayle action

(1) Frank W. Lu.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Steve Highlander (Reg. No. 37,642).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 June 2007

Time: 5 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments in the specification.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Highlander agreed with the examiner's amendments in the specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)